

A mean-field theory of the elastic granular disc model in two dimensions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L401

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/22/9/009>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 13:58

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A mean-field theory of the elastic granular disc model in two dimensions

Jian Wang

Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254, USA

Received 20 January 1989

Abstract. We have constructed a Stephen-type mean-field theory for the elastic granular disc model in two dimensions. We have calculated the mean-field value of two crossover exponents ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , which describe the ways in which the two-point elastic susceptibility and the angle-angle correlation function scale with the distance, respectively. We found that $\phi_1 = 2$ and $\phi_2 = 1$. The possible relation of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 to the bulk modulus exponent f is discussed.

Recently much attention has been directed towards randomly diluted elastic networks. Most studies have focused on the following aspects: (1) computer simulation [1-5]; (2) scaling analysis [6, 7]. Up to now, a field theory or even a mean-field theory to understand the critical behaviour of an elastic network has not been proposed. In this letter, we give a Stephen-type mean-field [8] theory for a two-dimensional granular disc model introduced by Feng [9]. We introduce two crossover exponents ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 describing the ways in which the two-point elastic susceptibility and splay elastic susceptibility (or angle-angle correlation function) scale with the distance, respectively. We show that as we turn on the angular part in the granular disc Hamiltonian, we have a crossover from $\phi_1 = 1$ to $\phi_1 = 2$. Using a node link picture [10], one can get a scaling relation relating ϕ_1 to the bulk modulus exponent f as

$$f = (d - 2)\nu + \phi_1. \tag{1}$$

Our result gives $f_{MF} = 4$ which agrees with the scaling analysis [6]. A possible relation between ϕ_2 and ϕ_1 would be $\phi_1 = \phi_2 + 2\nu$ from dimensional analysis. Hence we may have

$$f = d\nu + \phi_2. \tag{2}$$

The Hamiltonian of the granular disc model [9] can be written as follows:

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} H_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{3}$$

where ε_{ij} is an indicator variable: $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$ if bond b is occupied and $\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, and $\langle ij \rangle$ indicates a sum over pairs of nearest-neighbour sites, where

$$H_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 |(\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 |((\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} + R(\theta_i + \theta_j) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 R^2 |\theta_i - \theta_j|^2 \tag{4}$$

where \mathbf{u}_i is the displacement associated with site i , $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}$ is a unit vector along sites i and j , θ_i is the angle of the disc at site i and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to the two-dimensional system. Here α_1 , β_1 and γ_1 are elastic constants; R is the radius of

the disc. Note that this model is rotational invariant only when $R = a$ where a is the lattice spacing†. When $R = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ one has the isotropic force model (or Born model). One sees that the Born model consists of a CF term with elastic constant α_1 and an external field term with coefficient β_1 . We expect that turning on R or allowing the angular degrees of freedom θ_i will drive the system to a new universality class different from that of the random resistor network (RRN).

The field theory using Stephen's formalism [8] has been discussed in detail by Harris and Lubensky in [11]. The effective Hamiltonian can be calculated as

$$e^{-H_{\text{eff}}} = \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[1 - p + p \exp \left(- \sum_{\alpha} H_{ij}(\alpha) \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

or

$$-H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \ln \left[1 - p + p \exp \left(- \sum_{\alpha} H_{ij}(\alpha) \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where p is the concentration. Here we have introduced n replicas to facilitate the random average and α represents the replica index. Now we decompose (6) into its Fourier components $\exp(i\mathbf{K}_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{ix})$, $\exp(i\mathbf{K}_y \cdot \mathbf{u}_{iy})$ and $\exp(i\mathbf{P} \cdot \theta_i)$, where \mathbf{K}_x , \mathbf{K}_y and \mathbf{P} are n -component vectors. We obtained (up to a constant):

$$H_{\text{eff}} = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sum_{\mathbf{K}} B_{\mathbf{K}} \exp[i\mathbf{K}_x \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{ix} - \mathbf{u}_{jx})] \exp[i\mathbf{K}_y \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{iy} - \mathbf{u}_{jy})] \exp[-iRR_{ij}\mathbf{K}_x \cdot (\theta_i + \theta_j)] \\ \times \exp[iRR_{ij}\mathbf{K}_y \cdot (\theta_i + \theta_j)] \exp[i\mathbf{P} \cdot (\theta_i - \theta_j)] \quad (7)$$

where

$$B_{\mathbf{K}} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{l} v^l \exp \left(- \frac{P^2}{2l\gamma_1 R^2} \right) \exp \left(- \frac{K_x^2 + K_y^2}{2l\alpha_1} \right) \quad (8)$$

where we have set $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ for simplicity. Here $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha} \equiv K_{x\alpha}\hat{e}_1 + K_{y\alpha}\hat{e}_2 + P_{\alpha}\hat{e}_3$ and $v = p/(1-p)$. Now we define the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(\mathbf{K}) &= \exp(i\mathbf{K}_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{ix}) \exp(i\mathbf{K}_y \cdot \mathbf{u}_{iy}) \exp(i\mathbf{P} \cdot \theta_i) \\ \Phi_{i1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{K}) &= \Phi_0(\mathbf{K}) \cos(R\mathbf{K}_y \cdot \theta_i) \\ \Phi_{i2}^{(x)}(\mathbf{K}) &= -\Phi_0(\mathbf{K}) \sin(R\mathbf{K}_y \cdot \theta_i) \\ \Phi_{i1}^{(y)}(\mathbf{K}) &= \Phi_0(\mathbf{K}) \cos(R\mathbf{K}_x \cdot \theta_i) \\ \Phi_{i2}^{(y)}(\mathbf{K}) &= \Phi_0(\mathbf{K}) \sin(R\mathbf{K}_x \cdot \theta_i). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

So (7) can be written as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=x,y} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mathbf{K}} B_{\mathbf{K}} \gamma_{ij}^{(l)} [\Phi_{i1}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Phi_{j1}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K}) + \Phi_{i2}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Phi_{j2}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K})] \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma_{ij}^{(x)}$ ($\gamma_{ij}^{(y)}$) is the nearest-neighbour indicator along the x (y) direction, i.e. it is one if sites i and j are nearest neighbours along the x (y) direction and zero otherwise. Since there is no dilution for the site, we can add the kinetic energy H' of the disc system to H_{eff} , where

$$H' = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i\alpha} (m\omega_1^2 u_{ix\alpha}^2 + m\omega_1^2 u_{iy\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} mR^2 \omega_2^2 \theta_{i\alpha}^2).$$

† As pointed out by Lubensky.

After making a Hubbard–Stratanovich transformation, we obtain

$$e^{-H_{\text{eff}}} = \int (\mathbf{D}\Psi) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=x,y} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mathbf{K}} B_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(l)})^{-1} (\Psi_{i1}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Psi_{j1}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K}) + \Psi_{i2}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Psi_{j2}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K}))\right) e^{-H_1 - H'} \tag{11}$$

where

$$H_1 = \sum_{l=x,y} \sum_i \sum_{\mathbf{K}} [\Psi_{i1}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Phi_{i1}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K}) + \Psi_{i2}^{(l)}(\mathbf{K}) \Phi_{i2}^{(l)}(-\mathbf{K})] \tag{12}$$

where Ψ is a field variable.

The mean-field equation can be obtained by evaluating the Ψ integral in $\int \mathbf{D}u \mathbf{D}\theta e^{-H_{\text{eff}}}$ by steepest descents. Differentiating the exponent with respect to Ψ gives the mean-field equation

$$B_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(x)})^{-1} \Psi_{i1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{K}) = \langle \Phi_{j1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{K}) \rangle \tag{13}$$

$$B_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(y)})^{-1} \Psi_{i1}^{(y)}(\mathbf{K}) = \langle \Phi_{j1}^{(y)}(\mathbf{K}) \rangle \tag{14}$$

where $\langle A \rangle$ denotes $\int \mathbf{D}u \mathbf{D}\theta A e^{-H_1 - H'} / Z_1$ and $Z_1 = \int \mathbf{D}u \mathbf{D}\theta e^{-H_1 - H'}$. Here we have used the summation convention. We now take the Fourier transformation of (13):

$$\Psi_{i1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W}) \equiv \int \mathbf{D}P \mathbf{D}K_x \mathbf{D}K_y e^{iW_x K_x} e^{iW_y K_y} e^{iW_\theta P} \Psi_{i1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{K}) \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{W} = W_x \hat{e}_1 + W_y \hat{e}_2 + W_\theta \hat{e}_3$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(x)})^{-1} \Psi_{j1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W}) &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta(W_\theta - \theta_j) \delta(W_x - u_{jx}) \delta(W_y - u_{jy} - R\theta_j)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\delta(W_\theta - \theta_j) \delta(W_x - u_{jx}) \delta(W_y - u_{jy} + R\theta_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2Z_1} \exp\left[-\frac{m}{2} \omega_1^2 (W_x^2 + W_y^2) - \frac{m}{2} \omega_2^2 \left(\frac{3}{2} R^2 W_\theta^2 - 2W_y R W_\theta\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{j1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{j1}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W} - R W_\theta \hat{e}_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i} \Psi_{j2}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W}) - \frac{1}{2i} \Psi_{j2}^{(x)}(\mathbf{W} - 2R W_\theta \hat{e}_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{j1}^{(y)}[\mathbf{W} - R W_\theta (\hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2)] + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{j1}^{(y)}[\mathbf{W} + R W_\theta (\hat{e}_1 - \hat{e}_2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2i} \Psi_{j2}^{(y)}[\mathbf{W} - R W_\theta (\hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_2)] - \frac{1}{2i} \Psi_{j2}^{(y)}[\mathbf{W} - R W_\theta (\hat{e}_1 - \hat{e}_2)] \Big] \\ &\quad + \text{term with } R \text{ replaced by } -R \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$B_{\mathbf{W}} = B_0 + \frac{D_3^2}{4\gamma_2 R^2} + \frac{D_1^2 + D_2^2}{4\alpha_2} + \dots \tag{17}$$

and $B_0 = \ln(1 + \nu)$, $D_1^2 = \partial^2 / \partial W_x^2$, $D_2^2 = \partial^2 / \partial W_y^2$ and $D_3^2 = \partial^2 / \partial W_\theta^2$. We now expand (16) with respect to RW_θ and keep the quadratic term of W_θ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_w^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(x)})^{-1} \Psi_{j1}^{(x)} &= \frac{1}{2Z_1} \exp\left(\Psi_{i1}^{(x)} + \Psi_{i1}^{(y)} - \frac{m}{2} \omega_1^2 W^2 - \frac{3m}{4} \omega_2^2 R^2 W_\theta^2\right) \\ &\quad \times (2 + 2R^2 W_\theta^2 D_2^2 \Psi_{i1}^{(x)} + R^2 W_\theta^2 D^2 \Psi_{i1}^{(y)}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we have written $D^2 = D_1^2 + D_2^2$ and $W^2 = W_x^2 + W_y^2$.

Similarly, from (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_w^{-1}(\gamma_{ij}^{(y)})^{-1} \Psi_{j1}^{(y)} &= \frac{1}{2Z_1} \exp\left(\Psi_{i1}^{(x)} + \Psi_{i1}^{(y)} - \frac{m}{2} \omega_1^2 W^2 - \frac{3m}{4} \omega_2^2 R^2 W_\theta^2\right) \\ &\quad \times (2 + 2R^2 W_\theta^2 D_1^2 \Psi_{i1}^{(y)} + R^2 W_\theta^2 D^2 \Psi_{i1}^{(x)}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that $\Psi_{i1}^{(x)}$ and $\Psi_{i1}^{(y)}$ are symmetric in (18) and (19); we assume that they have the same scaling form in the critical region, i.e. $\Psi_{i1}^{(x)} \sim \Psi_{i1}^{(y)} \sim S_0$. From (18) and (19), we obtain

$$S = \frac{1}{Z_1} (1 + 2B_w R^2 W_\theta^2 D^2 S) \exp\left(4B_w S - \frac{m}{2} \omega_1^2 W^2 - \frac{3m}{2} \omega_2^2 R^2 W_\theta^2\right) \quad (20)$$

where $S = S_0 / 2B_w$ and we have used the fact that $(\gamma_{ij}^{(x)})^{-1} = (\gamma_{ij}^{(y)})^{-1} = \delta_{ij} / 2$.

As discussed in detail in [8], one has $Z_1 = e^{4B_0}$ to ensure the percolation threshold which is determined by $4B_0 = 1$. Now we look for a solution of the form

$$S = 1 - r_0^\beta F\left(\alpha_2 W^2 r_0^{\phi_1}, \gamma_2 W_\theta^2 R^2 r_0^{\phi_2}, \frac{\omega_1^2}{\alpha_2 r_0^{\Delta + \phi_1}}, \frac{\omega_2^2}{\gamma_2 R^2 r_0^{\Delta + \phi_2}}\right) \quad (21)$$

where $r_0 = 1 - 4B_0$ and F is a scaling function. Substituting (17) and (21) into (20) and expanding the exponent, we have [8]

$$\frac{1}{2} F^2 + r_0^{1-\beta} F - \frac{m\omega_1^2}{2r_0^{2\beta}} W^2 - \frac{3m\omega_2^2}{4r_0^{2\beta}} R^2 W_\theta^2 - \frac{R^2}{r_0^\beta} W_\theta^2 D^2 F - \frac{1}{\alpha_2 r_0^\beta} D^2 F - \frac{1}{\gamma_2 r_0^\beta} D_3^2 F = 0 \quad (22)$$

where we have omitted terms which do not contribute to (22) in the scaling region. Note that when $R = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} F^2 + r_0^{1-\beta} F - \frac{m\omega_1^2}{2r_0^{2\beta}} W^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_2 r_0^\beta} D^2 F = 0 \quad (23)$$

which is the same form as in (4.18) of [8] with $\beta = 1$. We have [8] $\phi_1 = 1$ and $\Delta = 2$ for (23) which is the result of RRN. When R is not zero, we still have $\Delta = 2$ and $\beta = 1$ from (22). But we have $\phi_1 = 2$ and $\phi_2 = 1$ (a crossover). It is the term $R^2 W_\theta^2 r_0^{-1} D^2 F$ in (22) which is responsible for the crossover.

We now consider the two-point correlation function or elastic susceptibility

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{K_x, K_y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &= [\Psi_{K_x, K_y}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{-K_x, -K_y}(\mathbf{x}')]_{\text{av}} \\ &= \left[\exp\left(-\frac{K^2}{2} \langle Q_i | G | Q_i \rangle\right) \right]_{\text{av}} \\ &\simeq \chi_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left(1 - \frac{K^2}{2\alpha_1} L^{\phi_1/\nu}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\Psi_{K_x, K_y}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(iK_x u_x(\mathbf{x}) + iK_y u_y(\mathbf{x}))$, $[\]_{\text{av}}$ denotes the random average, Q_1 is the generalised displacement for compression and G is the Green function of the system. Hence $\langle Q_1 | G | Q_1 \rangle^{-1}$ is a two-point effective elastic constant if we let the vector (K_x, K_y) be parallel to $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'$. Here $\chi_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is the susceptibility for percolation. Comparing (24) with (21), we identify ϕ_1 as the crossover exponent which describes the way $\langle Q_1 | G | Q_1 \rangle$ scales with the distance. Similarly we define the splay elastic susceptibility $\chi_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &= [\exp(iP\theta_x) \exp(-iP\theta_{x'})]_{\text{av}} \\ &= \left[\exp\left(-\frac{P^2}{2} \langle Q_2 | G | Q_2 \rangle\right) \right]_{\text{av}} \\ &\approx \chi_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \left(1 - \frac{P^2}{2\gamma_1} L^{\phi_2/\nu}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where Q_2 is the generalised displacement where the disc at x is rotated by an angle θ and the disc at x' is rotated by an angle $-\theta$ and $\langle Q_2 | G | Q_2 \rangle^{-1}$ is the corresponding effective elastic constant. We identify ϕ_2 as the exponent which describes the way $\langle Q_2 | G | Q_2 \rangle$ scales with distance. If opposite sides (of length L) of a square are displaced by u and $-u$ respectively, then the energy $E \sim 2Ku^2$. Using the node-link picture [10] the energy is that of $(L/\xi)^2$ links, each of length ξ at whose ends discs suffer a relative displacement $\sim au\xi/L$; thus we obtain

$$E \sim (L/\xi)^2 (au\xi/L)^2 k\xi^{-\phi_1/\nu}. \quad (26)$$

So $K \sim k\xi^{-\phi_1/\nu}$, or $f = \phi_1$ in two dimensions. Generally in d dimensions, we then have

$$f = (d-2)\nu + \phi_1.$$

This equation gives the mean-field value $f_{\text{MF}} = 4$ which is consistent with the scaling theory [6]. It is not clear how to relate ϕ_1 to ϕ_2 . From (21), dimensional analysis seems to suggest that $\phi_1 = \phi_2 + 2\nu$, or $f = d\nu + \phi_2$. This equation is reminiscent of the conjectured relation [2, 11-14] $f = d\nu + \phi_{\text{re}}$ where ϕ_{re} is the crossover exponent for RRN. For the bond-bending model [2, 6], we have proved [15] that $\phi_2 = \phi_{\text{re}}$ which supports our analysis. Although we cannot prove $f = d\nu + \phi_{\text{re}}$, our result favours this conjectured relation.

In summary, we have constructed a Stephen-type mean-field theory. We have introduced two crossover exponents ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 and obtained their mean-field value. Finally, we have discussed the relation between ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and the bulk modulus exponent f .

I would like to thank Professor A B Harris for his guidance and support, and Professor T C Lubensky for helpful discussions. I thank the NSF for partial support under grant no DMR 85-19059 of the MRL program, and the NIH for support under grant no 4-60357.

References

- [1] Feng S and Seng P N 1984 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** 216
- [2] Feng S C, Sen P N, Halperin B I and Lobb C J 1984 *Phys. Rev. B* **30** 5386
- [3] Day A R, Tremblay R R and Tremblay A M S 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 2501

- [4] Roux S and Hansen A 1988 *Europhys. Lett.* **6** 301
Hansen A and Roux S 1988 *Universality Class of Central Force Percolation* preprint
- [5] Zabolitzky J G, Bergmann D J and Stauffer D 1986 *J. Stat. Phys.* **44** 211
- [6] Kantor Y and Webman I 1984 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** 1891
- [7] Bergman D J and Kantor Y 1984 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53** 511
- [8] Stephen M J 1978 *Phys. Rev. B* **17** 4444
- [9] Schwartz L M, Johnson D L and Feng S 1984 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** 831
Feng S 1985 *Phys. Rev. B* **32** 510
- [10] Skal A S and Shklovskii B I 1974 *Fiz. Tekh. Poluprovodn.* **8** 1582 (*Sov. Phys.-Semicond.* 1975 **8** 1029)
- [11] Harris A B and Lubensky T C 1987 *Phys. Rev. B* **35** 6964
- [12] Roux S 1986 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **19** L351
- [13] Sahimi M 1986 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **19** L79
- [14] Harris A B and Lubensky T C unpublished
- [15] Wang J and Harris A B 1988 *Europhys. Lett.* **6** 615
Wang J, Harris A B and Lubensky T C unpublished